

THE ROLE OF MARKETING IN THE NEW NORMAL

Savica Dimitrieska¹, Tanja Efremova²

¹*International Balkan University – Republic of North Macedonia, Savica.Dimitrieska@ibu.edu.mk*

²*National Bank of the Republic of North Macedonia, Efremovat@nbrm.mk*

ABSTRACT

The novel Coronavirus (Covid-19) was declared as a pandemic by the World Health Organisation in March, 2020. It was called „once in a century pandemic“, or a „Wuhan virus“ as it has firstly appeared in the Chinese city of Wuhan in December 2019. Measures that governments have taken in order to keep people safe, had a significant impact on economies, markets and consumers. While certain industries and sectors had to close down for lengthy periods, lay off staff and fear for their existence, other made huge profits and rushed to move their activities online. Consumers, under government restrictions and faced with lockdowns, needed to shift their buying habits and patterns. Their buying priorities and behavior significantly changed. Consumers mostly purchase basic food products, personal hygiene products, disinfectants, vitamins, immunosuppressive drugs, anti-bacterial products, but also computers and computer equipment and telecommunication services. Bars, restaurants, travel agencies, entertainment industry, as well as automobiles, jewelry, luxury goods have experienced a sharp decline in consumption.

This paper aims to show how marketing can help companies reconnect with their consumers. The paper uses world-class analysis done by multiple marketing agencies. Even in the aftermath of a pandemic, companies need to continue their marketing activities. One of the wrong decisions of managers is to cut marketing costs in times of crisis. Consumers always remember the companies that were with them even in the worst of times, offering them goods and services, as well as, words of support, comfort and hope.

KEYWORDS

Marketing, companies, pandemic, Covid-19, government's measures, consumers

JEL CLASSIFICATION CODES

M31, M37

1. INTRODUCTION

Covid19 has suddenly shocked the entire world, affecting all countries, all economies, companies, and people. At the time of the virus outbreak, in late 2019, in the Chinese city of Wuhan, no one hoped it would cause a global pandemic catastrophe and would spread so fast around the world. Nobody believed it was so serious at the beginning. It was believed that the disease would be solved quickly, having in mind that the Chinese have a long tradition of fighting the viruses and epidemic diseases. For thousands of years they developed their natural medicine and the techniques and treatments to fight outbreaks of pandemics (Procel, et al).

The facts show that the situation with the virus is escalating: by the end of November 2020, Covid19 infected over 61.2 million people and caused the deaths of over 1.5 million people worldwide. (WHO). In North Macedonia, 57,500 people are infected and 1.600 people died, which is a terrible data for a small country. (WHO). The virus paralyzed the whole world including industry, trade, education and personal life of millions of people.

We are adjusting to the new reality or realities. The outcomes, reactions and responses are varying around the world. What is really incomprehensible and confusing about what is happening with the pandemic are the extremes that occur in the thinking and behavior of countries, economies, companies, and even people. On the one hand there are countries that have taken extreme restrictive measures, and on the other hand there are countries that undertook more relaxed measures hoping for collective immunity. Even people are divided, to one part who believe and are really afraid of the disease and those who do not believe, are not afraid and spread false news. False and inaccurate information about the coronavirus has spread around the world more rapidly than the virus itself, prompting the Head of the World Health Organization, Dr. Tedros Adhanam Gebreyesus to warn that “we’re not just fighting an epidemic, we’re fighting an infodemic. Cascades are more likely to occur with false news” (Olubunmi, 2020). Companies also behave differently, because some of them make big profits due to the nature of their business, others fear for their existence.

Some governments faced with large number of infected and dead people, have started to take measures and to impose rules to safe human lives. Even though their responses have been mixed, they follow similar patterns, as: closing borders, travel restrictions, lockdown of key infected areas, ramp up of medical facilities and response, economic stimuli packages, intensified communication with the public. They have started to do everything to stop the spread of the virus.

It is estimated that the Covid19 will impose severe recession in the world. A lot of economies, due to the social distancing and closed borders, record negative outcomes and loses. Economies are facing with volatile financial markets, reduction in consumption, disruption in certain industries, lack of consumer confidence. There are negative implications to the both, supply and demand side. Decreased demand for products and services occurs from the changed customer behavior, and loses due to unavailability of supply arouses from closed borders. However, from the pandemic, some industries will win, will grow and make huge profits and some will be losers on the edge of their survival. On the side of winners, there are companies that produce drugs, food, toiletries, anti-bacterial products, online retail, energy, support services, cleaning, beverages, telecommunications, insurance and healthcare. On the loser’s side, one can mention companies involved into leisure, luxury products, travel, fashion, hotels, bars, transportation, automotive industry and manufacturing. This means that some companies are affected far more than others.

Coronavirus changed the way people think, believe, hope, communicate, work, shop, pray. They start spending more time on Internet. The online educational programs, conferences, work, meetings, shops became part of everyday life. (Winkerson, 2020). With time, people get used to the new normal that is based on remote working, living under restrictions, online socializing. People have shifted into protection mode, focused more on themselves, their families, their employees, their customers, and their communities. (Bails, 2020) They are also seeking more in the way of escapism and entertainment — downloading gaming apps, spending more time on social media, and streaming more movies and scripted programming. Some of these behavior changes may be temporary, but many may be more permanent. (Balis, 2020). People have different priorities now related to pre-virus period and different buying patterns. Covid19 with lockdowns across the world has definitely an impact on brand loyalty, switching behavior as well as sustainability concerns among consumers.

Companies faced with the new customer behaviors and their changed needs and wants, try to find and respond accordingly. They need to alter their business and marketing strategies to meet the new customer needs. Faced with uncertainty about the future, many firms are responding to recession by adapting their marketing strategy to changing consumer behavior (The Nielsen, 2020). Companies adopt new and different ways of working, communicating, marketing and providing services. They feel a sudden need to switch to digital fully or partially, depending on the sector and products and services offered. Some

companies and products will disappear and others will “shine”. More agile and resourceful companies are likely to survive and succeed, whereas those with limited ability would take the hit.

The big question is how should marketing respond, but it depends on what industry, sector, products and services we are talking about. Common suggestions, given by many marketers, are as follows:

- To understand and estimate the consumption of products/services,
- To make a short and long-term plans on how the company can attract consumers and sell the products/ services,
- Look after shareholders, employees, consumers,
- Track cash flows,
- Monitor the external and internal environment, especially competition,
- Secure the supply chain,
- Track consumer behavior closely / Retention,
- Look for opportunities /optimization,
- Improve affordability,
- Use latest technology,
- Promote rational and emotive benefits,
- Switch to digital online targeted marketing,
- Loyalty programs,
- Drive new customer programs and
- Streamline portfolio

The most important thing for companies is to understand the customer base and how they assess purchases of goods and services in tough times.

2. THE NEW ROLE OF MARKETING

Below, presented are some of the most significant analyzes made globally, on the behavior of companies towards marketing that demonstrate the new role of marketing. (Statista, 2020)

A) Companies should not cut the marketing budgets

Marketers are just as in the dark about the effects of the lockdown restrictions on business as anyone else. They post lot of questions about the ways in which their companies now should be taking towards their customers. Where and how to spend their marketing budgets? How to organize the marketing activities? How to ensure staying in business? However, one thing is the most important, that: “there is no need to stop marketing.” (Vafainia, 2020). During economic crisis, one of the most common and wrong reactions of managers is to cut marketing costs to the minimum, mainly to protect short-term profits. However, there is an evidence indicating that cutting on marketing is not necessarily the best decision, especially if the focus is on the long-term. The main reason favoring a proactive marketing strategy during a recession is that as other firms in the industry reduce their marketing activities during a recession, a proactive firm could achieve a superior competitive advantage and market share by keeping its marketing budget at the same level as before the crisis. The statistics show that although three-quarters (73%) of advertisers have held back campaign launches, most (52%) are expected to resume or ramp up their advertising spending this summer.

B) Companies must increase their presence online and on social networks

People change their buying behavior driven by Covid19. 62% of US shoppers say that they shop online more now than pre- Covid. Statista surveyed over 5.000 consumers in various countries to learn about their shopping plans in the post Covid-19. Consumers acknowledged that they now shop more online than previously: USA (62%), Canada (59%), Mexico (70%), UK (58%), France (41%), Germany

(32%) and Australia (47%). Overall, 49% of shoppers say they're shopping online now more than they were in the pre-Covid period. Companies should look to their online social media channels in order to take advantage of this surge in users. As traditional marketing and advertising spend decreases, the inverse is true of digital (online) marketing during the pandemic.

Also, search engine ads forecast to grow 5.9% this year and that is one of marketing area that will see an increased spending. With consumers spending more time on the Internet, it makes perfect sense for companies to invest more heavily driving traffic from search engines to their websites.

23% of consumers plan to continue watching more livestreaming videos post-outbreak. So, one of the more unassuming winners of the pandemic from a marketing point of view has been livestreaming, with a quarter of consumers saying they plan to continue watching more livestreaming events after the pandemic is over. With in-person gathering being significantly reduced, many companies have instead taken the opportunity to livestream events, conventions, product launches, conferences, talks, and everything in between online.

Email marketing (66%), websites (63%), and social media marketing (52%) are on top of the list of tactics that brands handled internally this year. Financial difficulties have naturally placed a significant burden on marketing budgets throughout the course of 2020. This has led to less reliance on external marketing agencies by businesses, instead using internal resources to carry out marketing goals. The three principal goals have unsurprisingly been the core digital channels, email, website, and social media. So, the suggestion is the companies to start and continue utilizing social media platforms to their fullest extent.

C) Companies need to deliver timely and accurate information during the pandemic

People find themselves having to adapt to the new normal and they're concerned about their financial and emotional wellbeing. Research shows that 87% of consumers appreciate brands that go out of their way to deliver timely and relevant information during the coronavirus pandemic. This is an opportunity for companies to step up and be a resource to them. Companies need to communicate to customers about how they will serve them during the pandemic. Companies need to reassure people that they are still in business and take care of their wants and needs.

D) Companies need to empathize with consumers and to show it!

Research has shown that a plurality of consumers (37%) want advertising to make them feel safe and give them a sense of normality, while others (31%) want ads to make them feel positive. In difficult times, consumers don't want brands to keep advertising to them, they want someone to give them support and guidance. People are vulnerable now. Empathy is crucial in marketing messages. Consumers should be treated with a light touch and as much compassion as possible.

People remember brands for their acts of good in a time of crisis, particularly if done with true hearth and generosity. This could take the form of donating to food banks, providing free products for medical personnel or continuing to pay employees while the company's doors are closed. (Balis, 2020)

Consumers will likely remember how Ford, GE, and 3M partnered to repurpose manufacturing capacity and put people back to work to make respirators and ventilators to fight coronavirus. And people appreciate that many adult beverage companies, from Diageo to ABInBev, repurposed their alcohol-manufacturing capabilities to make hand sanitizer, alleviating short supplies with their "It's in our hands to make a difference" message. However, companies need to show that their contributions are material and not solely for commercial benefit. Consumers recognize authenticity and true purpose. (Balis, 2020)

E) Companies should not use humorous ads!

In the pre-corona era, many marketers and marketing experts favored humor in advertising to attract consumers. However, now research points out that 40% of consumers say humorous communication is the wrong approach. While consumers want to feel reassured and positive during the crisis, they don't want to see humor in the ads. It's true that campaign messages vary wildly from one organization to another, but nonetheless companies should be very cautious in how their output will be perceived.

F) Companies in their advertisements must support the protection measures provided by the government!

Access to remote production and creative capacity will become particularly important as the crisis evolves. Nike, for example, immediately moved to adopt a new message: “Play inside, play for the world.” And in order to promote social distancing and show a commitment to public safety, Chiquita Brands removed Miss Chiquita from their logo. “I’m already home. Please do the same and protect yourself,” its Instagram caption read. (Balis,2020)

Other example is the company Google that helps people who search for vaccine information, travel advisories and prevention tips. Their SOS Alert in Search connects people with the latest news plus provides safety tips and links to more authoritative information from the World Health Organization. For people specifically looking for information about symptoms, prevention or treatments, Google is working to expand their Knowledge Panels for health conditions to include a COVID-19 panel. On YouTube, they will be using the homepage to direct users to the WHO or other locally relevant authoritative organizations and will donate ad inventory to governments and NGOs in impacted regions to use for education and information. Google Maps, also, continues to surface helpful and reliable local information. The Google Trust and Safety team has been working across the globe to safeguard users from phishing, conspiracy theories, malware and misinformation. On Google Ads all ads capitalizing on the coronavirus are blocked. Google is helping WHO and government organizations to run PSA ads.

The company Heineken, on their website stated the three guiding principles of themselves: a) health, safety and trust of our people, b) safeguard the continuity of our business and appeal of our brands and c) support to the communities who are most impacted.

These are only few examples, but a lot of companies and brands can be seen focusing their working and advertising for the benefit and wellness of consumers.

3. CONCLUSION

The pandemic will end one day. Many countries are working to find a vaccine against Covid 19. Vaccine use is expected in the second half of 2021. But the world, including countries, economies, companies and people, will change. Some changes and behaviors will remain permanent and some temporarily. Marketing has always aimed to follow, research and satisfy the needs and wants of consumers. Customers make businesses. Companies will need to do good marketing research and continue their marketing activities. When this is all done, the companies and organizations that will thrive will be the ones who will play the major role in rebuilding the world.

REFERENCES

- Balis, J. (2020). “Brand Marketing Through the Coronavirus Crisis”. *Harvard Business Review*.
<https://hbr.org/2020/04/brand-marketing-through-the-coronavirus-crisis>.
- Nielsen, (2020). “Covid-19: Tracing the impact on FMCG, Retail and Media”, 20 November, 2020,
<https://www.nielsen.com/us/en/insights/article/2020/covid-19-tracking-the-impact-on-fmcg-and-retail/>
- Olubunmi, E. (2020). “Covid-19 in Nigeria: Significance of Credible Health Information Amidst Misinformation, Conspiracy Theories and Uncertainties”, *Proceedings of VIAC 2020-Covid-19: “Global Impact of the Coronavirus Covid19 on Economics, Business, Marketing, Politics, Security, Sport, Tourism, Culture, Globalization, Children and Youth, Education, Transport, Engineering and Technology, Health and Medicine, Information Technology and other”*, Czech Technical University in Prague, Prague, Czech Republic

- Procel, W, Jednaszewski P and Lyu, H. (2020). "Impact of Coronavirus (Covid19) on the social life", *Proceedings of VIAC 2020-Covid-19: "Global Impact of the Coronavirus Covid19 on Economics, Business, Marketing, Politics, Security, Sport, Tourism, Culture, Globalization, Children and Youth, Education, Transport, Engineering and Technology, Health and Medicine, Information Technology and other"*, Czech Technical University in Prague, Prague, Czech Republic
- Shyam R, Abirami A. (2020), "Marketing in the era of Coronavirus-Understanding the consumer mindset for effective Marketing strategies", *JETIR*, April 2020, Vol. 7, Issue 4, file:///C:/Users/Savica/AppData/Local/Temp/JETIR2004137.pdf
- Sirkeci Ibrahim, (2020). "Editorial: Marketing and Consumers in an era of Disruption caused by Covid-19 Pandemic". *Transnational Marketing Journal*, Vol. 8, No. 1, pp 1-6
- Statista, (2020), "Marketing during the pandemic: 20 stats that you should know", <https://www.impactmybiz.com/blog/marketing-during-a-pandemic-stats/>, <https://www.statista.com/markets/417/media/>
- Vafainia, S. (2020). "Marketing in post Covid-19 era: A guide for Marketing managers", *Research Institute of Management (ERIM), ESCP Impact paper*, No. 2020-07-EN, file:///C:/Users/Savica/AppData/Local/Temp/IP2020-07-EN.pdf
- Winkerson A., (2020). "Coronavirus Outbreak: A complete Anti-panic guide to the Covid-19 Epidemic based on really scientific and official sources that offers you everything you need to know", (ed. March)
- World Health Organizations, WHO Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Dashboard.

FACTORS AFFECTING BANK LIQUIDITY IN REPUBLIC OF NORTH MACEDONIA

Andrijana Bojadzievska – Danevska¹, Liza Alili Sulejmani²

¹ *International Balkan University, andrijanab.danevska@ibu.edu.mk*

² *International Balkan University, liza.alili@ibu.edu.mk*

ABSTRACT

Banks' liquidity is a critical phenomenon that must be constantly monitored and managed in order for bank to achieve an appropriate maturity transformation of liabilities into assets, while maintaining an appropriate level of profitability. Additionally, liquidity as a critical point in the management of the bank's assets indirectly affects the growth, development, but also the functioning of the banks in general.

The purpose of this research is to determine the factors that affect the liquidity of banks in the Republic of North Macedonia measured through gross loans to total assets ratio. In order to determine which factors affect the liquidity of the banks in RNM, the regression model includes secondary data on micro-factors affecting the liquidity of the banking sector, ie NPL / Gross loans (non-fin.), ROAA, Cost to income ratio, Loan growth, Deposit growth, CAR and secondary data on macroeconomic factors. ie GDP growth, inflation and unemployment. Furthermore, the empirical research continues to focus more on the individual groups of banks by size, in order to determine the micro factors that have a significant impact on the liquidity of large, medium and small size banks in the Republic of North Macedonia for the time spin 2005q1 – 2020q1.

KEYWORDS

liquidity, bank-specific factors, macro-factors, bank size, OLS.

JEL CLASSIFICATION CODES

C22; G21.

1. INTRODUCTION

Liquidity is the ability of a bank to fund increases in assets and meet obligations as they come due, without incurring unacceptable losses, without incurring unacceptable losses (BIS, 2008). According to Macedonian banking law, banks should manage their assets and liabilities in a manner that ensures settlement of due liabilities at all times. The issue of liquidity arises from transformation functions of banks, i.e. maturity transformation. By borrowing short and lending long banks expose themselves to risk of not being able to meet obligations on time. In other words, and looking at the bank's balance sheet, banks transform short-term, liquid liabilities into long-term, illiquid assets. By doing so, banks provide customers with smooth and continuous consumption or investment, and protect them against liquidity problems, but simultaneously they exposed themselves to liquidity risks. Banks as liquidity provider, may

unexpectedly experience extreme shortages of liquidity which could be triggered by larger amount of standby credit drawn or/and unexpected reduction in the availability of deposits (Crockett, 2008). Therefore, efficient coordination of the cash inflows and cash outflows, in order to meet the cash flow shortfalls, requires effective risk management structure for managing liquidity (Nagret, 2009).

The concept of liquidity and its risk are essential for continuous and efficient operating of all financial institutions. This topic is especially important and crucial, and became central topic for studies and researches after the recent global financial crisis where number of banking and non-banking financial institutions faced liquidity problems. As a response to that, banking, non-banking financial institutions, regulators and monetary authorities initiate changes in liquidity measures and continuous assessment and management of liquidity risk. Additionally, many authors dedicated a large amount of their attention to seeking determinants which have influence over bank liquidity. The majority of studies, however, group factors that determine bank's liquidity into bank specific determinants, or micro factors and macro determinants. Investigated micro factors typically include factors such as non-performing loans, bank capital adequacy, deposit growth rate, bank size, loan growth rate and profitability. Macro determinants are external factors outside the control of bank's management team and they present the economic environment surrounding banking sector. Macroeconomic factors that may affect the bank's liquidity include factors such as GDP, marginal interest rate, inflation rate and unemployment rate.

For example, Agarwal (2019) conducted an empirical analysis on the commercial banks of India for the period 2005 to 2017, by investigating bank specific factors such as bank size, deposits, cost of funds, capital adequacy ratio, non-performing assets and ROE. The results showed that determinants of liquidity vary for public and private sector banks. Bank size is a significant determinant of liquidity of both public and private sectors banks. In public sector banks, the deposit growth and capital adequacy ratio have statistically significant influence over bank's liquidity, while NPLs and profitability showed insignificant results for both the sectors.

According to the panel data regression analysis that Vodova conducted among Hungarian commercial banks (for time period of 2001 to 2010) in 2013, bank liquidity decreases with the size of the bank, while small and medium sized banks hold a buffer of liquid assets which is fully in accordance with the "too big to fail" hypothesis. Liquidity is negatively influenced also by the interest margin and monetary policy interest rate. Both factors lead to higher lending activity of banks and thus reduce bank liquidity. On the other hand, bank liquidity increases with the higher capital adequacy of banks, the higher interest rate on loans and higher bank profitability. However, unemployment, share of nonperforming loans and financial crisis has no statistically significant effect on the liquidity of Hungarian commercial banks.

Dinger (2009) conducted cross border analysis within ten banking systems (Bulgaria, Czech Republic, Estonia, Hungary, Latvia, Lithuania, Poland, Romania, Slovakia and Slovenia) and points out that smaller banks have tendency to be more liquid, while foreign banks are less liquid than domestic banks, and that bank size is one of the determinant factors regarding liquidity.

The purpose of this paper is to examine the bank specific and macroeconomic determinants on banks' liquidity in RNM. This paper is trying to make a contribution towards researching and discovering which determinants have significant impact over bank's liquidity in our banking sector for better managing and monitoring bank's liquidity. Therefore, this paper is structured in three chapters. First chapter gives overview of liquidity measurement in banking sector. Second chapter focuses on explaining each of the determinants included in the research, followed by describing the methodology of the conducted research, data used and the obtained results. The last chapter provides concluding remarks.

2. BANKS' LIQUIDITY MEASUREMENT

Banks maintain appropriate level of liquidity by using several mechanisms such as: everyday planning and monitoring cash inflows and outflows; establishing and maintaining an appropriate maturity structure of assets and liabilities; monitoring significant sources of funds and their concentration, as well as establishing, ie maintaining regular communication with large depositors; maintenance of mandatory

prescribed liquidity rates, and determination and monitoring of liquidity indicators. As noted previously and according to Vodova and Moore (2009) banks maintain their liquidity by using the liquidity gap approach and/or liquidity ratios. Nevertheless, for academic purpose and for this paper liquidity ratios are employed because they are more appropriate, more available and easier to compute.

In the area of liquidity regulation, the Basel Committee proposed introduction of two liquidity indicators, LCR (Liquidity Coverage Ratio) and NSFR (Net Stable Funding Ratio). The purpose behind these two indicators is establishing minimum levels of liquidity for internationally active banks with short and long term aim of increasing banks' ability in funding liquidity.

In banks' liquidity research papers stock liquidity ratios dominate. For example, Vodova in 2012 employed two most convenient liquidity measures, i.e. loan to deposit ratio and a liquid asset to deposit ratio. Loan to deposit ratio describes what proportion from the loans, which are illiquid, are financed by deposits, while the liquid asset to deposit ratio indicates the extent to which the bank's total liquid assets are composed of deposits from customers and other financial institutions. Other scholars, such as Singh and Sharma (2015) measure bank liquidity by using liquid assets over total assets ratio.

In this research paper liquidity will be measured using gross loans to total active ratio, because of the availability of data regarding the banking sector in RNM. This ratio is considered as an important ratio which measures bank liquidity with respect to its total assets.³ This ratio also named as capacity ratio indicates the share of bank's total assets that have been invested in loans. The higher the value of this indicator shows that the bank has undersized liquidity position, because loans are the least liquid assets in the bank balance sheet.

3. BANK SPECIFIC AND MACRO FACTORS THAT AFFECT BANKS' LIQUIDITY

Bank's liquidity presents function of many factors and authors across countries from this research area apply various explanatory variables for the purpose of determining and defining the factors that have significant impact over it. Bank specific factors include factors such as non-performing loans, bank capital adequacy, bank deposits and profitability. Macro factors are external factors that affect bank's liquidity and these are not under the management of the bank, but imply the influence of the economic and legal environment that is affecting the bank's functioning and banks liquidity position. Macroeconomic factors that may affect the bank's liquidity include factors such as GDP, inflation rate and unemployment rate.

Non- Performing Loans and Liquidity. Non-performing loans (NPLs) are loans, wherein their principal, interest, other non-interest claims have not been collected in a period longer than 90 days from the maturity date. According to International Monetary Fund's (IMF) "loans (and other assets) should be classified as the NPL when (1) payments of principal and interest are past due by 3 months (90 days) or more, or (2) interest payments equal to 3 months (90 days) interest or more have been capitalized (re-invested into the principal amount, refinanced, or rolled over (i.e. payment has been delayed by arrangements)". Bank for International Settlements (BIS) defines "a default is considered to have occurred with regard to a particular obligor when the obligor is past due more than 90 days on any material credit obligation to the banking group". Due to the fact that NPLs do not generate funding liquidity, the growth of these loans in total credit portfolio of a bank is of serious concern. Therefore, we assume that non-performing loans measures as a ratio between non-performing loans and total loans, have negative impact over bank's liquidity. According to Mazreku *et al.* (2019), Tibebu (2019), Belete (2015), Horwath *et al.* (2012), Tesfaye, (2012) and Melese & Laximikantham (2003) the growth of non-performing loans reduces the level of liquid assets of banks.

³ Mustahsan Elahi (2017), Factors Influencing Liquidity in Leading Banks "A Comparative Study of Banks Operating in UK and Germany Listed on LSE", Imperial Journal of Interdisciplinary Research (IJIR), Vol-3, Issue-2, pp.1555 - 1575

Profitability and Liquidity. Liquidity and profitability are the key variables for any banking or non-banking business entity. In case of banks, loans are main generator of income, but on the other hand they are the most illiquid asset on the balance sheet. The higher the volume of the loans, the higher will be the interest income and the potential profits of commercial banks, but banks with a larger volume of credit face a higher risk of liquidity. Therefore, banks continuously strive for a balance between liquidity and profitability. According to Singh and Sharma (2016), Roman and Sargu (2015) and Melese (2015) profitability measured by return on asset (ROA) has a positive impact on the liquidity of banks. On the contrary, Mehdi and Abderrassoul (2014) found out that return on asset has a negative impact on the liquidity position of banks. Another indicator introduced in this research paper for banks' profitability is cost to income ratio (C/I ratio) which shows the relationship between the bank's operating expenses and revenues. This ratio gives a clear indication of how efficiently the bank works - the lower it is, the more profitable the bank is. Under these notations the assumptions are:

Loan growth and Liquidity. According to the literature, liquidity and credit risks are positively correlated. By increasing the loan supply on the market, banks expose themselves to higher liquidity risk, i.e. they invest depositors' money in more illiquid assets. The results of regression analysis that Berihun conducted in eight Ethiopian commercial banks covering the period from 2002/03 to 2013/14 showed that bank size and loan growth had negative and statistically significant impact on banks liquidity measured by liquid assets to total assets.

Deposit growth and Liquidity. Banks' liquidity decreases when deposits are suddenly withdrawn. Therefore, banks are obliged to maintain adequate levels of liquidity that monetary authority determines. If deposits grow, then the liquidity held by banks should increase. According to conducted researches by Bonner, Lelyveld and Zymek (2013), Laštůvková (2013), and Moussa (2015) deposit growth has a positive relationship with bank's liquidity. However, Moussa (2015) found that deposits have insignificant effect on bank liquidity. Bonneretal (2013) and Kashyap *et al.* (2002) argued that as demand deposits increase, liquidity asset holdings also increase. On the contrary, Dinger (2009) studied emerging economies for the period of 1994 to 2004 and found that as the deposit rate increases bank liquidity decreases.

Capital Adequacy and Liquidity. High bank's capital increases its capacity of absorbing risks and creating liquidity. The capital adequacy ratio (CAR) indicates the extent to which assets are funded by other than own funds and is a measure of capital adequacy of the deposit-taking sector. The purpose behind the CAR is to prevent banks from excessive expansion of risk assets, i.e. to protect interests of depositors and other creditors. Monetary authorities of various countries regulate the capital adequacy ratio of commercial banks in order to monitor the bank's ability to resist risks. The impact that capital adequacy had over bank liquidity was studied by Choon *et al.* (2013), Delechat *et al.* (2012), Moussa (2015), Bunda and Desquilbet (2008), Bhatiand De Zoysa (2012) and Bhati, De Zoysa and Jitaree (2015) and it was found a significant and negative impact. However, Vodava (2013) by applying panel data regression in Hungarian commercial bank showed that capital adequacy of banks affects liquidity positively.

Gross Domestic Product and Liquidity. Macroeconomic circumstances have direct effect in the overall economy, including the financial sector. According to Pana *et al.* 2009; Shen *et al.* 2010 macroeconomic conditions affect bank activities and investment decisions as the profile of bank liquidity. Gross Domestic Product presents an indicator of a business cycle, and during economic boom, banks increase their credit activities, have high degree of confidence in the financial and nonfinancial legal entities about their profitability and have higher volume of investments, and even prefer riskier investments with higher return. On the other side is the decreased preference for liquidity. Based on these arguments, banks will increase their illiquidity during economic expansion. According to Moussa (2015), Bunda and Desquilbet (2008) and Choon *et al.* (2013) there is a positive impact of GDP on bank liquidity while Valla *et al.* (2006), Dinger (2009), Vodova (2011) and Aspachs *et al.* (2005) discovered negative relationships

between the two. According to Aspachs et al. (2005), UK banks seemed to hold smaller amounts of liquidity when GDP increased and vice versa, for the period of 1985 to 2003.

Inflation and Liquidity. An increase in inflation lowers purchasing power and households and legal entities need more money than before to buy the same products. This macroeconomic context may increase banks' credit activities and thus lower liquidity. The empirical study of Moussa (2015) of Tunisian banks revealed that the impact of changes in inflation rates on bank liquidity is negative. According to Tseganesh (2012), inflation has positive impact on the liquidity while study by Horváth *et al.* (2014) finds insignificant effect on the banks liquid assets. Raesi *et al.* (2014) based on the survey in 18 banks of Islamic Republic of Iran revealed that inflation rate has positive effect on banks' liquidity.

Unemployment and Liquidity. During economic downturn, macroeconomic context notes increase in the unemployment rate, which additionally reflects a decrease in credit demand and an increase of NPLs and indirectly effects on lowering banks' liquidity and profitability. With the increase of unemployment rate and decrease in credit demand, banks lose the cash supply (source for liquidity funding) from monthly loan installments and future income, and net – profit as well. Economic expansion notes lowering in unemployment rates and more liquidity is created by banks. The studies by Vodova (2012) and Horváth *et al.* (2014), support the aforementioned issues by point out bank liquidity decreases with the higher unemployment rate. However, findings by Munteanu (2012) and Singh and Sharma (2016) suggested that unemployment rate had positive impact on bank liquidity, i.e. increased bank liquidity.

Unemployment rate is calculated as percentage of the unemployed population over the total number of economically active population. The rate indicates that the number of persons who are ready (aged fifteen years and above) to participate or engaged in the production of goods and services.

In general, the following table summarizes the variables, which are explained and involved in the study with its measurement and expected sign.

Table 1. Description of dependent and independent variables

<i>Variables</i>	<i>Measurement</i>	<i>Expected Sign</i>
Dependent Variable		
Liquidity (LIQ)	Gross loans/Total assets	
Independent Variables		
Non-performing Loans (NPLs)	NPL/Gross loans (non-fin.)	Negative
Profitability	ROAA = Net Income/Total Average Assets	Negative
	Cost to income ratio (C/I) = Operating expences/Operating income	Positive
Loan growth (LG)	[Gross loans year (n) - Gross loans year (n-1)]/Gross loans year (n-1)	Negative
Deposit growth (DG)	[Deposits year (n) - Deposits year (n-1)]/Deposits (n-1)	Positive
Capital Adequacy Ratio (CAR)	(Tier 1 Capital + Tier 2 Capital) / Risk-Weighted Assets	Negative/Positive
Gross Domestic Product (GDP)	The quarterly growth rate of real gross domestic product	Negative/Positive
Inflation (INF)	Quarterly rate of inflation (current month/same month of the previous year)	Negative/Positive
Unemployment (UNP)	Unemployment rate	Negative/Positive

Source: authors source.

3.1. Sample Data and Methodology

For the purpose of determining the internal and external factor that affect liquidity in banking sector in Republic of North Macedonia, four regression models (OLS) were constructed. Data which are used in these models are secondary and derived from publicly established reports by the National Bank of RNM and State Statistical Office.

General model equations are defined as follows:

First regression model for banking sector

$$Y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{1t} + \beta_2 X_{2t} + \beta_3 X_{3t} + \beta_4 X_{4t} + \beta_5 X_{5t} + \beta_6 X_{6t} + \beta_7 X_{7t} + \beta_8 X_{8t} + \beta_9 X_{9t} + \varepsilon_t$$

Y_t - Liquidity for banking sector at time t ;

X_{1t} - NPLs for banking sector at time t ;

X_{2t} - ROAA for banking sector at time t ;

X_{3t} - C/I ratio for banking sector at time t ;

X_{4t} - LG for banking sector at time t ;

X_{5t} - DG for banking sector at time t ;

X_{6t} - CAR for banking sector at time t ;

X_{7t} - GDP at time t ;

X_{8t} - INF at time t ;

X_{9t} - UNE at time t ;

Second regression model for large banks

$$Y_{Lt} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{1t} + \beta_2 X_{2t} + \beta_3 X_{3t} + \beta_4 X_{4t} + \beta_5 X_{5t} + \beta_6 X_{6t} + \beta_7 X_{7t} + \beta_8 X_{8t} + \beta_9 X_{9t} + \varepsilon_t$$

Y_{Lt} - Liquidity for large banks at time t ;

X_{1t} - NPLs for large banks at time t ;

X_{2t} - ROAA for large banks at time t ;

X_{3t} - C/I ratio for large banks at time t ;

X_{4t} - LG for large banks at time t ;

X_{5t} - DG for large banks at time t ;

X_{6t} - CAR for large banks at time t ;

X_{7t} - GDP at time t ;

X_{8t} - INF at time t ;

X_{9t} - UNE at time t ;

Third regression model for medium sized banks

$$Y_{Mt} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{1t} + \beta_2 X_{2t} + \beta_3 X_{3t} + \beta_4 X_{4t} + \beta_5 X_{5t} + \beta_6 X_{6t} + \beta_7 X_{7t} + \beta_8 X_{8t} + \beta_9 X_{9t} + \varepsilon_t$$

Y_{Mt} - Liquidity for medium sized banks at time t ;

X_{1t} - NPLs for medium sized banks at time t ;

X_{2t} - ROAA for medium sized banks at time t ;

X_{3t} - C/I ratio for medium sized banks at time t ;

X_{4t} - LG for medium sized banks at time t ;

X_{5t} - DG for medium sized banks at time t ;

X_{6t} - CAR for medium sized banks at time t ;

X_{7t} - GDP at time t ;

X_{8t} - INF at time t ;

X_{9t} - UNE at time t ;

Fourth regression model for small banks

$$Y_{St} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{1t} + \beta_2 X_{2t} + \beta_3 X_{3t} + \beta_4 X_{4t} + \beta_5 X_{5t} + \beta_6 X_{6t} + \beta_7 X_{7t} + \beta_8 X_{8t} + \beta_9 X_{9t} + \varepsilon_t$$

Y_{St} - Liquidity for small banks at time t ;

X_{1t} - NPLs for small banks at time t ;

X_{2t} - ROAA for small banks at time t ;

X_{3t} - C/I ratio for small banks at time t ;

X_{4t} - LG for small banks at time t ;

X_{5t} - DG for small banks at time t ;

X_{6t} - CAR for small banks at time t ;
 X_{7t} - GDP at time t ;
 X_{8t} - INF at time t ;
 X_{9t} - UNE at time t ;

4. EMPIRICAL FINDINGS

In order to determine the internal and external factor that affect liquidity in banking sector in Republic of North Macedonia, four regression models (OLS) were constructed by using quarterly time series for the time period 2005q1 – 2020q1. In addition, in the first model, by using the OLS methodology it is determined the effect of internal and external factor on the liquidity of the total banking sector in the Republic of North Macedonia. The $R^2 = 0.9009$, implies that 90.09% of the changes of the liquidity can be explained through the changes of the internal and external factors that are included in the first model. In addition, its results imply a negative and statistically significant relationship between the deposit growth and liquidity of the total banking sector in the Republic of North Macedonia for the time spin 2005q1 – 2020q1. Thus, by increasing 1% of the domestic growth, the liquidity will decrease by 0.18%. These results are in line with those of the Dinger (2009). Moreover, a negative association it is also present between capital adequacy ratio and liquidity of the total banking sector in the Republic of North Macedonia for the given analyzed time period. In this regard, we expect 2.04% decrease of the liquidity by 1% increase of the capital adequacy ratio of the total banking sector in the Republic of North Macedonia. The results are in line with several existing studies of Bhati, De Zoysa and Jitree (2015); Moussa (2015); Choon et al. (2013); Delechat et al. (2012); Bhati and De Zoysa (2012); and Bunda and Desquilbet (2008).

In order to determine the internal and external factors that affect the liquidity of the large banks in the Republic of North Macedonia, the second model was conducted by using the OLS methodology. Results imply a negative and statistically significant relationship between the non-performing loans and liquidity of the large banks sector in the Republic of North Macedonia for the time spin 2005q1 – 2020q1. Thus, by increasing 1% of the non-performing loans, the liquidity will decrease by 0.947%. These results are in line with those of Mazreku *et al.* (2019), Tibebu (2019), Belete (2015), Horwath *et al.* (2012), Tesfaye, (2012) and Melese & Laximikantham (2003). Moreover, a negative association it is also present between profitability and liquidity of the large banks sector in the Republic of North Macedonia for the given analyzed time period. In this regard, we expect 4.973% decrease of the liquidity, by 1% increase of the ROAA of the large banks sector in the Republic of North Macedonia. These results are in line with those of Mehdi and Abderrassoul (2014). Same results regarding the profitability can be noticed when using the cost to income ratio – CIR, which has results to have a negative and significant impact on the liquidity of the large banks sector operating in the Republic of North Macedonia.

As for the effects of the internal and external factors that affect the liquidity of the medium size banks in the Republic of North Macedonia, the third model was conducted by using the OLS methodology. Results imply a negative and statistically significant relationship between the non-performing loans and liquidity of the medium size banks sector in the Republic of North Macedonia for the time spin 2005q1 – 2020q1. Thus, by increasing 1% of the non-performing loans, the liquidity will decrease by 0.495%. Moreover, a positive association it is also present between profitability and liquidity of the medium size banks sector in the Republic of North Macedonia for the given analyzed time period, by using the cost to income ratio – CIR. Further, a negative and statistically significant relationship is estimated between capital adequacy ratio and liquidity of the medium banks sector in the Republic of North Macedonia for the given analyzed time period. In this regard, we expect 0.475% decrease of the liquidity by 1% increase of the capital adequacy ratio of medium banks sector in the Republic of North Macedonia.

The last model (model4) investigates the effects of the internal and external factors on the liquidity on the small and micro size banks sector in the Republic of North Macedonia. Results imply a

negative and statistically significant relationship between the non-performing loans and liquidity of the small and micro size banks sector in the Republic of North Macedonia for the time spin 2005q1 – 2020q1. Thus, by increasing 1% of the non-performing loans, the liquidity will decrease by 0.325%. Moreover, a negative association it is also present between the deposit growth and liquidity of the small and micro sector in the Republic of North Macedonia for the time spin 2005q1 – 2020q1. Thus, by increasing 1% of the deposit growth, the liquidity will decrease by 0.123%. Moreover, a negative effect can be seen on that of the loan growth on the liquidity of the small and micro sized banks in the Republic of North Macedonia, where by increasing 1% of the loan growth, the liquidity will increase by 0.1%. Further, a negative and statistically significant relationship is estimated between capital adequacy ratio and liquidity of the small and micro banks sector in the Republic of North Macedonia for the given analyzed time period. In this regard, we expect 0.715% decrease of the liquidity by 1% increase of the capital adequacy ratio of small and micro sized banks sector in the Republic of North Macedonia. As for the external or macro factors that affect the liquidity of the small and micro sized banks in the Republic of North Macedonia, we can notice a positive relationship between GDP growth and liquidity of small and micro sized banks, where by increasing 1% of the GDP, the liquidity will increase by 0.8%. These results are in line with those of Moussa (2015), Bunda and Desquilbet (2008) and Choon et al. (2013).

Table2. Effects of internal and external factors on the liquidity of banking sector in Republic of North Macedonia.

VARIABLES	Model 1 (total banking sector)	Model 2 (large banks)	Model 3 (medium banks)	Model 4 (small and micro banks)
Dependent variable - GLTA				
NPLs	-0.2041 (0.1832)	-0.9471 (0.2095)***	-0.4915 (0.2043)**	-0.3253 (0.1271)**
ROAA	-0.9628 (0.670)	-4.973 (0.7558)***	-1.186 (1.1047)	-0.3406 (0.8941)
CIR	0.0085 (0.0084)	-0.7193 (0.1666)***	0.2221 (0.1194)*	0.0105 (0.037)
LG	-0.1652 (0.1522)	-0.0659 (0.1744)	0.0931 (0.0944)	0.1079 (0.0500)**
DG	-0.3518 (0.1054)***	-0.1519 (0.1617)	-0.0606 (0.0976)	-0.1238 (0.0561)**
CAR	-2.0431 (0.2445)***	-0.6246 (0.6943)	-0.4756 (0.1920)**	-0.7150 (0.0530)***
GDP	-0.18527 (0.1413)	-0.3599 (0.2485)	0.1386 (0.2084)	0.8032 (0.2918)***
INF	-0.2219 (0.1510)	-0.1682 (0.2328)	-0.3709 (0.2955)	0.06194 (0.2484)
UNE	0.0135 (0.1317)	0.4704 (0.2849)	-0.0600 (0.522)	0.00475 (0.1313)
Nr. of obserations	61	61	61	61
R ²	0.9009	0.698	0.7512	0.9184
Root MSE	1.786	3.282	3.007	4.4132
Notes: Standard errors are reported in parenthesis and *, **, *** indicate significance levels of 10 %, 5 %, and 1 %, respectively.				

Source: authors calculations.

5. CONCLUSIONS

The objective of this paper is to determine the effects of the bank specific factors and macro factors that affect the liquidity of the banking sector in the Republic of North Macedonia, by utilizing quarterly time data for 2005q1 – 2020q1. In addition, by using the OLS methodology, the first model was established to determine the effects of the internal and external factors on the liquidity of the overall banking sector on the Republic of North Macedonia, where the results implied that from the internal factors only deposit growth and capital adequacy ratio tend to have negative and significant effect on the liquidity of the banking sector in RNM, while the external factors are shown to be insignificant in this model. As for the large banks, non-performing loans, ROAA and CIR have negative and significant impact on the liquidity of the large banks in the RNM, while at the case of the medium sized banks, from the bank specific factor a negative association can be seen between non-performing loans, capital adequacy ratio and liquidity, while a positive relationship is noticed between Cost to income ratio (CIR) and liquidity. The last model deals with the effect of the bank specific factors and macro factors on the small and micro sized banks in the Republic of North Macedonia, where a negative relationship is noticed between non-performing loans, capital adequacy ratio and liquidity. A positive nexus is seen between loan growth and liquidity, whereas for the macro factors only GDP growth tends to have a significant and positive effect on the liquidity of the small and micro sized banks, while unemployment rate and inflation tend to be insignificant in the model.

5.1. Limitations of conducted research

This research paper embodies secondary data for banking sector and three groups of banks in Republic of North Macedonia. Future research can be carried out by taking into consideration data from each separate bank's reports, i.e. by investigating several particular banks from RNM, by investigating banks from Europe and comparing them with Macedonian banks. The conducted research included loan to asset ratio to mark liquidity, while other researchers may prefer other liquidity ratios.

REFERENCES

- Agarwal, P. (2019). *Bank Specific Determinants of Liquidity of Public and Private Sector Banks*, International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering (IJRTE), Volume-8 Issue-3, pp.6774-6779.
- Assfaw, A.M. (2019). *Firm-Specific and Macroeconomic Determinants of Banks Liquidity: Empirical Investigation from Ethiopian Private Commercial Banks*, Journal of Accounting, Finance and Auditing Studies, 5/2, pp.123-145.
- Bhati, B., Zoysa, A., Jitreee, W. (2019). *Factors affecting the liquidity of commercial banks in India: a longitudinal analysis*, Banks and Bank Systems, 14(4), pp. 78-88.
- Dinger, V. (2009). *Do foreign-owned banks affect banking system liquidity risk*, Journal of Comparative Economics, 37(4), pp. 647–657.
- Elahi, M. (2017). *Factors Influencing Liquidity in Leading Banks “A Comparative Study of Banks Operating in UK and Germany Listed on LSE*, Imperial Journal of Interdisciplinary Research (IJIR), Vol-3, Issue-2, pp.1555 – 1575.
- Feng, W., 2017. *Analysis of Factors Affecting Bank Liquidity*, *Proceedings of 2017 International Conference on Financial Management, Education and Social Science*, Beijing, China, pp.274-277.

- Gadzo, S, (2018). *Non-Performing Loan and Liquidity of Universal Banks: Does Minimum Capital Requirement Matters?*, The Journal of Social Sciences Research, Academic Research Publishing Group, vol. 4(12), pp.792-801.
- Lastuvkova, J. (2015). Dimensions of liquidity and their factors in the Slovenian banking sector, *Ekonomie a management*, Vol.20, pp. 163-173.
- Moussa, M.A.B. (2015). *The determinants of bank liquidity: case of Tunisia*, International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues, 5(1), pp. 249–259.
- Ratnovski, L. (2013). *Liquidity and transparency in bank risk management*, Journal of Financial Intermediation, 22(3), pp. 422–439.
- Roman, A., Sargu, C. (2014), *Banks liquidity risk analysis in the new European Union Member countries: evidence from Bulgaria and Romania*, Procedia Economics and Finance 15, pp. 569 – 576.
- Umar, M., Sun, G. (2016). *Non-performing loans (NPLs), liquidity creation, and moral hazard: Case of Chinese banks*, Finance and Economic Review (2016), Vol. 4.
- Vodová, P. (2013). *Determinants of commercial bank liquidity in Hungary*, Finansowy Kwartalnik Internetowy-Finanse, 9(4), pp. 64–71.
- Vodova, P. (2011). *Liquidity of Czech commercial banks and its determinants*, International Journal of Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences,5(6), pp.1060-1067.